## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

| § |                              |
|---|------------------------------|
| § |                              |
| § |                              |
| § |                              |
| § |                              |
| § | Case No. 6:20-cv-311-JDK-JDK |
| § |                              |
| § |                              |
| § |                              |
| § |                              |
| § |                              |
|   | ***                          |

## ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Plaintiff Kiley E. Douyon, a former prisoner confined within the Texas Department of Criminal Justice, proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis*, filed this section 1983 proceeding. The complaint was referred to United States Magistrate Judge, the Honorable John D. Love, for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for the disposition of the case.

On September 29, 2020, Judge Love issued a Report recommending that Plaintiff's civil rights lawsuit be dismissed, without prejudice, for Plaintiff's failure to comply with an order of the Court. Docket No. 18. A copy of the Report was sent to Plaintiff at the address he recently provided, with an acknowledgment card.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law.

Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass'n, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc),

superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to

file objections from ten to fourteen days). Here, Plaintiff did object in the prescribed

period. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge's findings for clear error

or abuse of discretion and reviews his legal conclusions to determine whether they

are contrary to law. See United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir. 1989),

cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge's

Report are filed, the standard of review is "clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and

contrary to law").

Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge's Report, the Court finds no clear error

or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law. Accordingly, the Court

hereby ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate

Judge (Docket No. 18) as the findings of this Court. It is therefore **ORDERED** that

this case is DISMISSED, without prejudice, for Plaintiff's failure to comply an order

of the Court. Finally, it is **ORDERED** that any and all motions which may be

pending in this case are hereby **DENIED** as **MOOT**.

So ORDERED and SIGNED this 3rd day of November, 2020.

EREMY D. KERNODLE

HIMITED STATES DISTRICT HIDGE